

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,199	01/16/2002	Walter Haussecker	1707	7805
7590 12/04/2003			EXAMINER	
Striker Striker & Stenby			MCANULTY, TIMOTHY P	
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Training.on, 11			3682	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		5
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/890,199	HAUSSECKER ET AL.
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Timothy P McAnulty	3682
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro a. cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	lovember 2003.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under be		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5 and 12-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5 and 12-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers	,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er .	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profits a claim fo	ts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification ovisional application has been received.	eation No ved in this National Stage ved. O(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. O(e) and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. in line 7 of claim 12, --at-- should be inserted immediately after "at" so as to provide proper sentence structure and flow;
 - b. in line 1 of claim 17, "gear" should be changed to --gearing--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 2-5 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by French Patent Publication 2 741 416.

French Patent Publication 2 741 416 discloses in figure 2, a worm gear 2 meshing with a spur-toothed wheel 3 wherein said spur-toothed wheel comprises a generally cylindrical first wheel disc 4; a generally truncated cone shaped second wheel disc in contact with said first wheel disc at a first interface; and a generally truncated cone shaped third wheel disc in contact with said first wheel disc at a second interface. Each of said first, second, and third wheel discs having helical gearing and a plurality of teeth including crests on a periphery thereof, said crests of said plurality of teeth on said second and third wheel discs being inclined radially inward towards said first interface and said second interface respectfully.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the limitation as to the spur tooth wheel being designed as a single piece and produced by injection molding does not further limit the invention as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

Even though product-by-process claims are limited and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP §2113.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Publication 2 741 416 in view of Japanese Patent Publication JP8-226497.

French Patent Publication 2 741 416 discloses the basic apparatus as previously cited but does not disclose said plurality of teeth of said helical gearing being non-globoidal. However, JP8-226497 teaches in figure 2 worm gearing comprising among other things a worm gear enmeshed with a worm wheel wherein said worm wheel comprising non-globoidal helical gearing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of French Patent Publication 2 741 416 in view of the teachings of JP8-226497 that it is old and well known in the art to provide non-globoidal helical teeth on a worm wheel enmeshed with a worm thus eliminating complex tooling of said teeth, therefore, reducing the manufacturing cost of said spur-toothed wheel.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims Applicant's arguments filed 24 November 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. French Patent Publication 2 741 416

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199

Art Unit: 3682

clearly discloses the claimed apparatus of claims 2-5 and 12-15. Furthermore, the two wheel disks taught in figure 2 of JP8-226497 are non-circular and are structurally identical to the second wheel disks (outside disks 13 and 14) of the present invention as shown in figure 2 of the present application. Regarding claims 16 and 17, the limitation that the teeth of the spur-toothed gear are non-globoidal is clearly taught by JP8-226497 and such teaching is applicable to French Patent Publication 2 741 416.

Conclusion

7. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/890199. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Timothy P McAnulty whose telephone number is 703.308.8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703.308.3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

December 1, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800